01			
02			
03			
04			
05			
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
07			
08	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CASE NO. MJ 16-002		
09	Plaintiff,		
10	v. ) DETENTION ORDER		
11	ERIN TERRIL WILEY, )		
12	Defendant. )		
13	,		
14	Offense charged: Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud		
15	<u>Date of Detention Hearing</u> : February 23, 2016.		
16	The Court, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and		
17	based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention hereafter set forth, finds		
18	that no condition or combination of conditions which defendant can meet will reasonably		
19	assure the appearance of defendant as required and the safety of other persons and the		
20	community.		
21	FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION		
22	1. Defendant has been charged by complaint with using Point-of-Sale terminals to		
	DETENTION ORDER PAGE -1		

fraudulently process returns from retail merchants, then loading the funds onto prepaid cards and withdrawing the allegedly fraudulently funds as cash withdrawals. The AUSA proffers that over one million dollars in proceeds from the scheme has not been recovered.

- 2. Defendant's criminal history includes many failures to appear for court with resultant bench warrant activity. There is an outstanding warrant from Kent Municipal Court related to failure to pay fines. Defendant is associated with at least four known aliases (the AUSA proffers there are more than that number), three different social security numbers and two different dates of birth.
- 3. The AUSA proffers that case agents attempted to arrest defendant at his current residence on several occasions, and were told by an unknown male that he did not know who defendant was, despite defendant having been seen by case agents at the residence previously. Defendant was ultimately arrested in Pierce County, at first giving his brother's name, then admitting to his true identity.
- 4. Defendant poses a risk of nonappearance due to a history of failing to appear, history of controlled substance use, lack of employment, alias identifications, allegations of attempts to elude arrest, and outstanding warrant activity. Defendant poses a risk of danger due to criminal history and the nature of the instant charges.
- 5. There does not appear to be any condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance at future Court hearings while addressing the danger to other persons or the community.
- It is therefore ORDERED:
  - 1. Defendant shall be detained pending trial and committed to the custody of the Attorney

**DETENTION ORDER** 

01

02

03

04

05

06

08

09

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

01		General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from
02		persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
03	2.	Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with
04		counsel;
05	3.	On order of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the
06		person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined shall deliver
07		the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection
08		with a court proceeding; and
09	4.	The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to counsel for the United States, to counsel
10		for the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United State Pretrial Services
11		Officer.
12		DATED this 24th day of February, 2016.
13		
14		Marellettin
15		Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
	i	

DETENTION ORDER PAGE -3